```
Charles Robinowitz, OSB No. 691497
   M. Elizabeth Duncan, OSB No. 872092
   Law Office of Charles Robinowitz
   1211 SW Fifth Ave., Suite 2323
   Telephone: 503-226-1464
   Facsimile: 503-226-6456
 5
   Email: chuck@crlawoffice.com
           elizabeth@crlawoffice.com
 6
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 7
 8
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
 9
                            PORTLAND DIVISION (3)
10
11
    STEVEN M. NELSON,
12
                                          No. 3:19-cv-01761-HZ
                              Plaintiff,
13
          v.
                                          OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S
14
                                          WITNESS PATRICK KENNEDY
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
15
    by and through the NATIONAL
16
    OCEANIC and ATMOSPHERIC
17
    ADMINISTRATION
18
                            Defendant.
19
20
         Plaintiff objects to any evidence from defendant's witness Patrick Kennedy,
21
22
   an economist. The court's trial management order required the parties to list all of
23
   lay witnesses and narratives of expert witnesses by November 8, 2021. The
24
   defendant did not list Patrick Kennedy or provide his narrative until November 22,
25
26
   2021.
```

Page 1 – OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S WITNESS PATRICK KENNEDY

1 The defendant will claim Patrick Kennedy is a rebuttal witness, and the deadline for his narrative was November 22, 2021. However, only the plaintiff should have rebuttal witnesses. The plaintiff has the burden to prove defendant's 4 5 negligence in causing his injuries, and the losses due to them. 6 The defendant denies plaintiff's claim that it was negligent, and if it was negligent, it claims the plaintiff's losses are either not related to his injuries or they 9 are less than he is claiming. It may do this by its own evidence or by refuting the 10 plaintiff's evidence. If it offers new evidence to refute the plaintiff's claims, he 11 may rebut it. But rebuttal belongs solely to the plaintiff in the present case. For 12 13 example, if the defendant offered evidence that the plaintiff had injured his left 14 shoulder and arm in a skiing accident the week before the gangway broke, the 15 plaintiff could offer evidence on rebuttal that his brother was injured in the skiing 16 17 accident, not he. 18 The plaintiff may not withhold part of his case-in-chief, and offer it on 19 rebuttal to try to sandbag the defendant. Nor may the defendant delay its evidence 20 that is part of its defense and call it rebuttal evidence. 22 The defendant may have rejoinder or sur-rebuttal in response to plaintiff's 23 rebuttal. But rebuttal belongs solely to the plaintiff, unless the defendant had the 24 25 burden of proof on a counter-claim. McCormick, Evidence, §4, pp. 5-6 (2d ed. 26 1972). In the present case, there is no counter-claim and only the plaintiff has the Page 2 – OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S WITNESS PATRICK KENNEDY

21

| 1 | burden of proof. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 2 | The defendant should have offered the report of Dr. Kennedy by November |
| 4 | 8, 2021. He is not a rebuttal witness. The defendant knew or should have known |
| 5 | the plaintiff would offer economic evidence of his past and future loss of wages |
| 67 | and wage-earning capacity. |
| 8 | The defendant's failure to provide Dr. Kennedy's report by November 8, |
| 9 | 2021 has delayed the preparation of Dr. Torelli's review and the plaintiff's |
| 10 11 | preparation of his case. Dr. Torelli is the plaintiff's economic expert. The |
| 12 | defendant has not even attempted to show good cause for its delay in providing Dr. |
| 13 | Kennedy's narrative or report, much less a strong showing of good cause. The |
| 14 15 | court must exclude Dr. Kennedy as a witness under its trial management order. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Dated: November 24, 2021. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 20 | |
| 21 | s/ Charles Robinowitz |
| 22 | CHARLES ROBINOWITZ, OSB #691497 Law Office of Charles Robinowitz |
| 23 | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Page 3 – OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S WITNESS PATRICK KENNEDY

26

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|----|--|
| 2 | I hereby certify that, on November 24, 2021, a true and correct copy of the |
| 3 | |
| 4 | foregoing OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S WITNESS PATRICK KENNEDY |
| 5 | was served electronically through CM/ECF on: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | ERIC KAUFMAN-COHEN |
| 8 | Assistant Attorney in Charge West Coast Office |
| 9 | Aviation, Space & Admiralty Litigation Torts Branch, Civil Division |
| 10 | U.S. Department of Justice |
| 11 | P.O. Box 36028 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 7-5395 |
| 12 | San Francisco, California 94102-3463 E-mail: eric.kaufman-cohen@usdoj.gov |
| 13 | Attorney for Defendant |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | /s/ Charles Robinowitz |
| 17 | CHARLES ROBINOWITZ, OSB #691497 Law Office of Charles Robinowitz |
| 18 | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |